



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/651,307

08/28/2003

Chia-Gee Wang

U 014776-3

9029

140

7590

03/18/2008

LADAS & PARRY LLP  
26 WEST 61ST STREET  
NEW YORK, NY 10023

EXAMINER

HUGHES, ALICIA R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/18/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/651,307	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ALICIA R. HUGHES	<b>Art Unit</b> 1614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alicia Hughes, Esq.

(3) Clifford Mass, Esq.

(2) Dr. Ardin Marschel.

(4) Dr. C.W. Wang

(5) Dr. Thomas Vullo.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 115 and 122-135.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 6,366,801.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Potential amendment to the substance of claim 115 to overcome the enablement rejection was discussed, particularly with regard to the phraseology "selective cell disruption." Additionally, the breadth of the claims was discussed and as well, the broad nature of previous patents issued.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alicia R. Hughes/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1614

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required